EXHIBIT A

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
        Before The Honorable Vince Chhabria, District Judge
 4
 5 KADREY, et al.,
                                    No. C 23-03417-VC
 6
             Plaintiffs,
 7
   VS.
  META PLATFORMS, INC.,
 9
             Defendant.
10
11
                                  San Francisco, California
                                  Friday, September 20, 2024
12
13
    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE OFFICIAL ELECTRONIC SOUND
                 RECORDING 1:03 - 2:00 = 57 MINUTES
14
15 APPEARANCES:
16 For Plaintiffs:
                                  Joseph Saveri Law Firm, LLP
17
                                  601 California Street
                                  Suite 1000
18
                                  San Francisco, California
                                    94108
19
                             BY:
                                  JOSEPH R. SAVERI, ESQ.
                                  CHRISTOPHER K. L. YOUNG, ESQ.
20
                                  Cafferty Clobes Meriwether &
21
                                    Sprengel LLP
                                  135 South LaSalle Street
22
                                  Suite 3210
                                  Chicago, Illinois 60603
23
                             BY: ALEXANDER J. SWEATMAN, ESQ.
24
25
             (APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)
```

```
15
1 representations about the -- when you first received
2 documents in the case. I don't know, maybe you're doing
 3 this because I previously sanctioned Meta and its lawyers
  for discovery abuses. Maybe you're somehow like thinking
 5 that you could take advantage of that.
 6
       But I assure you, Mr. Saveri, that I'm going to look at
  every case individually. And it's obvious in this case,
  that Meta has been litigating the case as if September 30th
9 is an actual discovery cutoff. And you and your team have
10 barely been litigating the case. That's obvious.
11
       And so, here's what I'm going to tell you, all right.
12 And, moreover, this is an important case, right?
13 not -- this is not your typical proposed class action. This
14 is an important case. It's an important societal issue.
15 It's important for your clients. It's important for the
16 proposed class members. It's important for society.
17
       And, you know, you and your team appear to have taken
18 on a case that you are either unwilling or unable to
19 litigate properly. And so, I will tell you right now that
20 if this case gets to the class certification stage, I will
21 not certify class represented by this legal team based on --
22 based on the way you've litigated the case so far.
23
        I think what you need, frankly, is to bring in -- bring
24 in somebody who can help you litigate the case. Who has the
25
  resources and the wherewithal to move this case forward.
```

16 1 Somebody like Sussman Godfrey or somebody like that who 2 actually has the ability to take on a complex matter like this and litigate it properly. I think you need to reconstitute your legal team. 5 And I will tell you, that I -- based on what I've seen so far, there is no way that I will -- that I would grant class certification. There is no way that I would find 8 adequacy of representation based on the representation that 9 I've seen take place thus far, okay. I feel like I'm in a little bit of a bind here, because |11| -- and I don't know if maybe the Defendants can speak to 12 this. And, you know, the Defendants don't need to convince 13 me that we are here today because the Plaintiffs have failed 14 to move the case forward. I understand that. 15 But I'm in a little bit of a difficult position because 16 it is such an important case, and, you know, I -- if I deny 17 the motion to extend the discovery cutoff, right, it may be 18 that, you know, I am not going to be able to adjudicate the question that is presented at summary judgment on a proper 20 record, right. 21 So maybe the answer is, fine, I deny the motion to 22 extend the discovery cutoff, and then I -- if there's no 23 proper record, that's the Plaintiff's fault, and so they 24 lose their case and some other plaintiffs are going to have

25 to bring a case against Meta, right, on this issue. Maybe